# 3D Topology Optimization of Heat Sinks for Liquid Cooling

#### Sicheng Sun Piotr Liebersbach Xiaoping Qian

Department of Mechanical Engineering University of Wisconsin-Madison

TOP Webinar, 02/23/2021

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- ₹ ►

32

 $\mathcal{O} \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{O}$ 

#### Introduction



- Nowadays the electronics have greater power density and smaller size
- Conventional heat sinks face the restriction of dissipating more heat while consuming less energy
- Topology optimization method combining with additive manufacturing shows the potential to solve the problem

-

◀ □ ▶

∃ ▶

王

 $\mathcal{O} \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{O}$ 

### Design problem



- Symmetric boundary on two sides
- Parabolic inlet condition
- Heat flux from bottom

Ξ

< □ ▶ <

.⊒ →

•

E

590

$$\min_{\gamma} J = \frac{\int_{\Gamma_{sc}} T d\Gamma_{sc}}{\int_{\Gamma_{sc}} d\Gamma_{sc}}$$
(1a)

s.t. 
$$-\nabla \cdot \nu \nabla \mathbf{u} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}) + \nabla p = -\alpha(\gamma)\mathbf{u}$$
 (1b)

$$-\nabla\cdot\mathbf{u}=0\tag{1c}$$

$$\chi(\gamma)\frac{\rho c}{\kappa_f}(\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla T) = \nabla(\kappa(\gamma)\cdot\nabla T)$$
(1d)

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} \mu |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 d\Omega + \int_{\Omega_1} \alpha(\gamma) |\mathbf{u}|^2 d\Omega_1 \le \Phi.$$
 (1e)

where 
$$\alpha(\gamma) = \alpha_{min} + (\alpha_{max} - \alpha_{min}) \frac{q(1-\gamma)}{q+\gamma}$$
, with  $\alpha_{min} = 0$  and  $\alpha_{max} = \nu/(DaL^2)$ 

#### S. Sun, P. Liebersbach, X. Qian

< ロ > < 団 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > < 国 > の<(?)</li>

#### Optimization process



S. Sun, P. Liebersbach, X. Qian

TOP Webinar, 02/23/2021

590

5/19

- Navier-Stokes equations are discretized with equal order elements with SUPG/PSPG stabilization
- Continuous consistent adjoint equations are used
- State and adjoint Navier-Stokes equations are solved with PCD(pressure convection-diffusion) preconditioner
- Solvers are implemented with open source finite element software FEniCS
- 96 CPUs, 6.4 million tetrahedron cells
- Approx. speed: 20-60 minutes for each optimization iteration, 2 weeks for the total optimization

< ⊒ >

 $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}$ 

# TO result



#### 3D TO design and geometric model



▲ 重 ▶

< □ ▶ < 凸

-∢ ≣ ▶

5900

æ

### TO result











#### Design viewed from the left side and cut from the middle plane

S. Sun, P. Liebersbach, X. Qian



=

< □ > < □

∢ ≣ ▶

æ

590

# Cooling mechanism: flow split



- Hot flow from upstream is sent to top layer
- Cold flow from upstream is sent to bottom layer

< □ ▶

< 一型

∃ ▶

3



# Cooling mechanism: flow split



Region A

Region B



- Hot flow from upstream is sent to top layer
- Cold flow from upstream is sent to bottom layer

S. Sun, P. Liebersbach, X. Qian

3D TO of Heat Sinks

TOP Webinar, 02/23/2021

3

< □ ▶ <

.∃ →

3

590

# Cooling mechanism: re-initialization



- 2D temperature plot shows the re-initialization effect
- Flow split effect is also shown in the 2D figure

3

< □ ▶ <

.∃ →

3

 $\mathcal{O} \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{O}$ 

# TO design advantages



Compared with the TO design, the optimized PF heat sink on the Pareto front

- costs 40% high pressure drop when the temperature is same
- raises the temperature by 10% when the pressure drop is same

590

# TO design advantages



When increasing the flow rate on the inlet

- The temperature of the optimal PF heat sink is 10% to 40% higher than the TO design
- The pumping power of the optimal PF heat sink is 200% to 450% higher than the TO design

◀ ◻ ▶

=

王



Surface area measured in Fluent

Surface area: per 0.01m width

- Parallel plate:  $1.6 * 10^{-3} m^2$
- TO bottom structure:  $0.57 * 10^{-3} m^2$
- TO top structure:  $0.08 * 10^{-3} m^2$

TO design show less surface area than the PF heat sink

- TO design beat the PF heat sink by better convection
- This is in contrast to optimization without considering the Navier-Stokes equation, which usually gets tree-type structures by increasing the surface area

 $\mathcal{O} \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{O}$ 

- Topology optimization method is a viable and effective computational design tool for heat sink design
- Compare our topologically optimized design and the optimized conventional plate fin(PF) heat sink:
  - Optimized PF heat sink has 10% higher temperature than TO design when pressure drop is same
  - Optimized PF heat sink cost 40% higher pressure drop than TO design when temperature is same
- Interesting cooling mechanisms automatically emerge from TO and lead to better heat dissipation

Sun, S., Liebersbach, P., & Qian, X. (2020). 3D topology optimization of heat sinks for liquid cooling. Applied Thermal Engineering, 178, 115540.

 $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}$ 

16 / 19

<ロト < 同ト < 三ト < 三ト -

#### Appendix: heat sink experiments



DLMS printed TO design



#### Outside shell



TO design and shell assembled

S. Sun, P. Liebersbach, X. Qian

3D TO of Heat Sinks

TOP Webinar, 02/23/2021

=

臣

590

17 / 19

< □ > < 凸

### Appendix: static mixers



- 10 million tetrahedral cells
- 32 nodes, 2048 CPUs

< ∃ ►

同

•

 $\bullet$   $\square$   $\bullet$ 

∢ ≣ ▶

Ð,

### Appendix: static mixers



• With 2.5 times pressure drop than the open channel, the mixing performance at the outlet is improved by 90%

 $\bullet \Box \bullet \bullet$ 

∃ →

3

